

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

G.D., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Civil Action 2:05-CV-980
Judge Watson
Magistrate Judge King

BARBARA RILEY,

Defendant.

ORDER

Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file under seal an exhibit submitted in support of their motion for summary judgment, Doc. No. 214, is **GRANTED**.¹ Plaintiffs shall submit to the Clerk, for filing under seal, an unredacted version of Exhibit 25.

Defendant has filed a motion for leave to file his entire motion for summary judgment, as well as all supporting exhibits, under seal. Doc. No. 212. Although the issues presented by this case are likely to require some filings under seal, defendant has not explained why his entire motion and all supporting exhibits must be protected from public view. See generally *Proctor & Gamble Co. v. Bankers Trust Co.*, 78 F.3d 219, 227 (6th Cir. 1996); *Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. FTC*, 710 F.2d 1165, 1177 (6th Cir. 1983). Defendant's motion, Doc. No. 212, is therefore **DENIED**. This denial is without prejudice, however, to renewal upon specification of the particular documents, or portions of documents, that are appropriately filed under seal.

¹The Court notes that a redacted version of the exhibit has been filed on the public record.

June 4, 2012

s/Norah McCann King
Norah McCann King
United States Magistrate Judge